247

BATALIN-VILKOVISKY QUANTIZATION OF A NONCOMMUTATIVE YANG-MILLS THEORY TOY MODEL¹

H. Hüffel²

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Wien Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Received 16 June 2002, accepted 17 June 2002

We review the Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization procedure for Yang-Mills theory on a 2-point space.

PACS: 11.15.-q

In this talk we give a short summary of [1], where we proposed the quantization of one of the simplest toy models for noncommutative gauge theories which is (zero dimensional) Yang-Mills theory on a 2-point space.

Noncommutative geometry constitutes one of the fascinating new concepts in current theoretical physics research with many promising applications [2-6].

We quantize the Yang-Mills theory on a 2-point space by applying the standard Batalin-Vilkovisky method [7, 8]. Somewhat surprisingly we find that despite of the model's original simplicity the gauge structure reveals infinite reducibility and the gauge fixing is afflicted with the Gribov [9] problem.

The basic idea of noncommutative geometry is to replace the notion of differential manifolds and functions by specific noncommutative algebras of functions. Following [10] we define the Yang–Mills Theory on a 2-point space in terms of the algebra $\mathbf{A} = C \oplus C$ which is represented by diagonal complex valued 2×2 matrices. The differential p-forms are constant, diagonal or offdiagonal 2×2 matrices, depending on whether p is even or odd, respectively. A nilpotent derivation

d acting on 2×2 matrices is defined by d $a = i \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} + a_{12} & a_{22} - a_{11} \\ a_{11} - a_{22} & a_{21} + a_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ where a = $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$. The anti-Hermitean 1-forms \mathcal{A} can be parametrized by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\phi \\ i\bar{\phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

and constitute the gauge fields of the model; here $\phi \in C$ denotes a (constant) scalar field. The (rigid) gauge transformations of \mathcal{A} are defined by

0323-0465/02 (c) Institute of Physics, SAS, Bratislava, Slovakia

¹Presented at 5th Int. Conf. Renormalization Group 2002, Tatranská Štrba (Slovakia), March 2002

²E-mail address: helmuth.hueffel@univie.ac.at

$$\mathcal{A}^U = U^{-1} \mathcal{A} U + U^{-1} \mathbf{d} U \tag{2}$$

with U being a unitary element of the algebra A. It is a constant, diagonal and unitary matrix which can be parametrized by the diagonal matrix ε

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}.$$
 (3)

Due to the nonabelian form of the gauge transformations (2) the $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge model shares many interesting features with the standard Yang–Mills theory, yet it has no physical space-time dependence and allows extremely simple calculations.

We define a scalar product for 2×2 matrices a, b by $\langle a | b \rangle = tr a^{\dagger} b$ where \dagger denotes taking the Hermitian conjugate. The curvature \mathcal{F} is defined as usual by $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{d}\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$ and for an action which is automatically invariant under the gauge transformations (2) one takes

$$S_{inv} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F} \rangle = \left((\phi + \bar{\phi}) + \phi \, \bar{\phi} \right)^2. \tag{4}$$

To discuss infinitesimal (zero-stage) gauge transformations we introduce a diagonal infinitesimal (zero stage) gauge parameter matrix ε_e^0 in terms of which $U \simeq \mathbf{1} + \varepsilon_e^0$. The infinitesimal (zero-stage) gauge variation of \mathcal{A} derives as

$$\delta_{\varepsilon^0} \mathcal{A} = i \mathbf{R}^0 \varepsilon_e^0 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{R}^0 = \mathbf{D}; \tag{5}$$

here the (zero-stage) gauge generator \mathbf{R}^0 is defined in terms of the covariant matrix derivative **D**, which acting on ε_e^0 is given by $\mathbf{D}\varepsilon_e^0 = \mathbf{d}\varepsilon_e^0 + [\mathcal{A}, \varepsilon_e^0]$.

A gauge symmetry is called irreducible if the (zero stage) gauge generator \mathbf{R}^0 does not possess any zero mode. It is amusing to note that the Yang–Mills theory on the 2-point space reveals an infinitely reducible gauge symmetry: We observe that $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{d}$ is vanishing on arbitrary offdiagonal matrices. Thus there exists a zero mode ε_e^1 for the (zero-stage) gauge generator \mathbf{R}^0 , such that

$$\mathbf{R}^{0}\varepsilon_{e}^{1} = 0$$
 where $\varepsilon_{e}^{1} = \mathbf{R}^{1}\varepsilon_{o}^{1}$ with $\mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{d}$. (6)

Here ε_o^1 denotes an offdiagonal, infinitesimal (first-stage) gauge parameter matrix and \mathbf{R}^1 the corresponding (first-stage) gauge generator. As a matter of fact an infinite tower of (higher-stage) gauge generators \mathbf{R}^s , $s = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ with never ending gauge invariances for gauge invariances is arising: We define $\mathbf{R}^s = \mathbf{d}$ for $s = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ so that for each gauge generator there exists an additional zero mode

$$\mathbf{R}^{1}\varepsilon_{o}^{2} = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_{o}^{2} = \mathbf{R}^{2}\varepsilon_{e}^{2}$$
$$\mathbf{R}^{2}\varepsilon_{e}^{3} = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_{e}^{3} = \mathbf{R}^{3}\varepsilon_{o}^{3}$$
$$\dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (7)$$

due to the nilpotency $d^2 = 0$.

BV-quantization ...

Now we straightforwardly apply the usual field theory Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) path integral quantization scheme [7,8] to the 2-point model: In addition to the original gauge field $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{-1}$ we introduce ghost fields \mathcal{C}_s^k , $\infty \ge s \ge -1$, $s \ge k \ge -1$ with k odd, as well as auxiliary ghost fields $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_s^k$, $\infty \ge s \ge 0$, $s \ge k \ge 0$ with k even. Furthermore we add Lagrange multiplier fields π_s^k , $\infty \ge s \ge 1$, $s \ge k \ge 1$ with k odd and $\overline{\pi}_s^k$, $\infty \ge s \ge 0$, $s \ge k \ge 0$ with k even; finally we introduce antifields $\mathcal{C}_s^{k^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_s^{k^*}$. The BV-action is obtained as

$$S_{BV} = S_{inv} + S_{aux} - \langle \mathcal{C}_{-1}^{-1*} | \mathbf{D} \mathcal{C}_{0}^{-1} \rangle - \sum_{s=1,3,5,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_{s}^{-1*} | \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle - i \sum_{s=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_{s}^{-1*} | \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle,$$
(8)

where we denote by S_{aux} the auxiliary field action

$$S_{aux} = \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\pi}_s^k | \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^{k^*} \rangle + \sum_{k=1,3,5,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_s^{k^*} | \pi_s^k \rangle.$$
(9)

Gauge fixing conditions similar to the usual Feynman gauge are implemented by introducing the gauge fixing fermion $\Psi = \Psi_{\delta} + \Psi_{\pi}$

$$\Psi_{\delta} = \sum_{s=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots} \left(-\langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s}^{k} | \delta \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{k-1} \rangle + \langle \delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k} | \mathcal{C}_{s+2}^{k+1} \rangle \right) + i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k} | \delta \mathcal{C}_{s}^{k-1} \rangle + i \langle \delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s}^{k} | \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \right),$$

$$\Psi_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots} \left(\langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s}^{k} | \pi_{s}^{k+1} \rangle + \langle \bar{\pi}_{s}^{k} | \mathcal{C}_{s}^{k+1} \rangle + i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k} | \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \right), \qquad (10)$$

By $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ we denote a nilpotent coderivative operator $\boldsymbol{\delta} a = i \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} - a_{21} & -a_{11} - a_{22} \\ -a_{11} - a_{22} & -a_{12} + a_{21} \end{pmatrix}$ where $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$. We eliminate the antifields by using the gauge fixing fermion Ψ via

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_s^{k^*} | = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial |\mathcal{C}_s^k\rangle}, \quad |\bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^{k^*}\rangle = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_s^k |}, \tag{11}$$

so that the gauge fixed action S_{Ψ} reads

$$S_{\Psi} = S_{inv} - i\langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{0} | \delta \mathbf{D} \, \mathcal{C}_{0}^{-1} \rangle - i \sum_{s=1,3,5,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{0} | \delta \mathbf{d} \, \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle + \sum_{s=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{0} | \delta \mathbf{d} \, \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+1,\,odd}^{\infty} \left(i\langle \bar{\pi}_{s}^{k} | \pi_{s}^{k+1} \rangle + \langle \bar{\pi}_{s}^{k} | (i\delta \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{k-1} + \mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1}) \rangle + \langle (i\delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s-1}^{k} - \mathbf{d} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2}) | \pi_{s}^{k+1} \rangle \right) + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+2,\,even}^{\infty} \left(\langle \bar{\pi}_{s}^{k} | \pi_{s}^{k+1} \rangle + \langle \bar{\pi}_{s}^{k} | (-\delta \mathcal{C}_{s-1}^{k-1} + i\mathbf{d} \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1}) \rangle + \langle (\delta \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s-1}^{k} + i\mathbf{d} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2}) | \pi_{s}^{k+1} \rangle \right)$$

$$(12)$$

We can now eliminate the Lagrange multiplier fields π^k_s and $\bar{\pi}^k_s$ and arrive at

$$S_{\Psi} \longrightarrow \qquad S_{inv} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{d}\delta \mathcal{A} \rangle - i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{0}^{0} | (\delta \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{d}\delta) \mathcal{C}_{0}^{-1} \rangle \\ - i \sum_{s=1,3,5,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{0} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}\delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle \\ + \sum_{s=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{0} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}\delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{-1} \rangle \\ - i \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+1,\,odd}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}\delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \\ + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \sum_{s=k+2,\,even}^{\infty} \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{s+1}^{k+2} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}\delta) \mathcal{C}_{s+1}^{k+1} \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0,2,4,\cdots}^{\infty} \langle \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^{k+1} | (\delta \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}\delta) \mathcal{C}_{k+1}^{k+1} \rangle.$$
(13)

All the higher-stage ghost contributions can be integrated away as $\delta d + d\delta = 4 \cdot 1$ and we simply obtain

$$S_{\Psi} \longrightarrow S_{inv} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \, \mathcal{A} \rangle - i \langle \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0^0 | \left(\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\delta} \right) \mathcal{C}_0^{-1} \rangle. \tag{14}$$

We summarize that the zero dimensional Yang–Mills theory model on a 2-point space reveals infinite reducibility; after applying the standard BV-quantization procedure the action finally contains invertible quadratic parts for the gauge field, as well as for the ghost fields. A closer inspection [1] shows that the model suffers from a Gribov problem [9].

We expect that our present investigations will lead to a study of the renormalization effects at higher orders; it may also be possible to compare the perturbative calculations with explicit analytic integrations (for related attempts see [11]).

Acknowledgement: The author wishes to thank the organizers for their kind invitation to this conference.

References

- [1] H. Hüffel: J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002) 2348
- [2] A. Connes: Noncommutative geometry, Acad. Press, 1995
- [3] J. Madore: An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995
- [4] G. Landi: An introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometries, Lecture Notes in Physics 51, Springer, 1997
- [5] J. Gracia-Bondia, J. Varilly, H. Figueroa: Elements of Noncommutative geometry, Birkhauser, 2000
- [6] D. Kastler, in *Geometry and Quantum Physics*, ed. H. Gausterer, H. Grosse and L. Pittner; Lecture Notes in Physics 543, Springer, 2000
- [7] I. Batalin, G. Vilkovisky: Phys. Lett. B 102 (1981) 27
- [8] I. Batalin, G. Vilkovisky: Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983) 2567
- [9] V. Gribov: Nucl. Phys. B 139 (1978) 1
- [10] A. Connes, J. Lott: Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 18 (1990) 29
- [11] R. Häußling: Univ. of Mainz preprint MZ-TH/01-25, hep-th/0109161