COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR TECHNIQUE FOR ISING MODEL¹

S. Mockovčiak, M. Jaščur

Department of Theoretical Physics and Geophysics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, P. J. Safárik University, Moyzesova 16, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

Received 31 July 1995, accepted 8 February 1996

putations in one- and two-spin cluster effective-field theory approximations for site-diluted spin-1 Ising model is calculated. arbitrary number of neighbours. As an example the critical temperature of the differential operator, is introduced. This method substantially simplifies com-A method for step application of binomial expressions, containing the Kaneyoshi

1. Introduction

used in developing of the effective-field renormalization group approach [4-9]. mean-field theory. Moreover, the differential operator method has been successfully and two-spin cluster formulations lead to substantial improvement over the standard neighbouring spins and then also utilized differential operator technique. Both onethe exact Callen's identities [2] for a single spin and averaging via differential operator by a method proposed by Honmura and Kaneyoshi [1]. The method is based on using of technique. Later, Bobák and Jaščur [3] generalized the method for the case of pair Over the last fifteen years the properties of magnetic systems have been studied partly

average of spin-variable products lead to the evaluation of expressions In all cases the differential operator technique and the full decoupling for thermal

$$\prod_{i} \{F_{i}[\cosh(t_{i}D_{i})]; \sinh(t_{i}D_{i}); m; q; p; ...\}^{z_{i}} f(x_{1}, ..., x_{i}, ...) \Big|_{\text{all } x_{i}=0},$$
(1)

the concentration of magnetic atoms. z; is an integer, dependent on the coordination average of Ising spin variables and their powers, p represents for the site-diluted systems differential operator, $m = \langle \langle S_k \rangle \rangle_c$, $q = \langle \langle S_k^2 \rangle \rangle_c$ are both thermal and configurational where the operator F_i is as a rule of the binomial form (a+mb). $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ is the number of the studied structure, (2)

$$t_i = rac{J_i}{k_B T}$$

¹Presented at 9th Ozech and Slovak conference on magnetism, Košice, Slovakia, August 28-30 1995

is the temperature parameter and J; stands for the exchange interaction between spins

rapidly very tedious in treating more complicated problems, such as problems with bour interaction and in general also the applications of two-spin cluster approximation higher coordination number (z > 4) or higher spins, problems with next-nearest neigh and then to apply it to the function $f(x_1,...,x_i,..)$. This attempt, however, becomes The main aim of this work is to show how we can avoid this shortcoming The conventional attempt to expression (1) is to calculate whole operator $\prod_i F_i$

2. Step Application of F_t

pendently. This evaluation gives in (1) terms The essence of our method is to evaluate each operator $(F_i)^{z_i}$ (with moderate z_i) inde-

$$\left[\sum_{\mu=0}^{z_i} c_{\mu}^c \cosh(\mu t_i D_i)\right] \times \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{z_j} c_{\nu}^s \sinh(\nu t_j D_j)\right] f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, ...) \Big|_{\text{all } x=0},$$
(3)

and after the application of operators $\cosh(\mu t_i D_i)$ and $\sinh(\nu t_i D_i)$ we have finally

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu=-z_i}^{z_i} c_{\mu}^c \sum_{\nu=-z_j}^{z_j} c_{\nu}^s f(x_1, ..., x_i + \mu t_i, ..., x_j + \nu t_j, ...,) \Big|_{\text{all } x=0},$$
(4)

At this stage the problem is already possible to solve by using a computer. For that purpose we need to calculate the coefficients c^c_μ and c^s_ν that satisfy the following relation:

$$c_{\mu}^{c} = c_{-\mu}^{c}, \quad c_{\nu}^{s} = -c_{-\nu}^{s}, \quad c_{0}^{s} = 0.$$
 (5)

which occurs in the sum one time only As far as we put the factor 1/2 in the front of the sum, we must be carefull with the c_0^c

3. Examples. Critical Temperature of the Site-Diluted Spin-1 Ising Model 3.1. Two-Spin Cluster Approximation for z = 4

For this problem the following equations can be obtained

$$m = p^{2}[F_{x}(D_{x}, m, q)F_{y}(D_{y}, m, q)]^{z-1}f(x, y)|_{x,y=0} + p(1-p)[F_{x}(D_{x}, m, q)]^{z-1}f_{2}(x)|_{x=0}$$

$$(6)$$

$$q = p^{2}[F_{x}(D_{x}, m, q)F_{y}(D_{y}, m, q)]^{z-1}g(x, y)|_{x,y=0} + p(1-p)[F_{x}(D_{x}, m, q)]^{z-1}g_{2}(x)|_{x=0}$$

$$(7)$$

$$F_i(D_i, m, q) = [(1 - q) + q \cosh(D_i t) + m \sinh(D_i t)]$$

For details see [7]. Here

and functions f(x,y), g(x,y), $f_2(x)$, $g_2(x)$ will be given below

The second-order phase transition line is defined by limit $m \to 0$ and it is determined

$$1 = 3[2p^2A_x^3(t,q)A_y^2(t,q)B_y(t)f(x,y)|_{x,y=0} + p(1-p)A_x^2(t,q)B_x(t)f_2(x)|_{x=0}]$$
(9)

where t is the critical temperature parameter (2) and

$$q = p^{2} [A_{x}(t,q)A_{y}(t,q)]^{3} g(x,y)|_{x,y=0} + p(1-p)A_{x}^{3}(t,q)g_{2}(x)|_{x=0},$$
 (10)

$$A_i = (1 - q) + q \cosh(D_i t), \qquad B_i = \sinh(D_i t) \qquad i = x, y$$
 (11)

and with functions (see[7]):

$$f(x,y) = f_1(x,y)/f_0(x,y)$$

$$g(x,y) = g_1(x,y)/f_0(x,y)$$

$$f_0(x,y) = 1 + 2[e^t \cosh(x+y) + e^{-t} \cosh(x-y) + \cosh x + \cosh y]$$

$$f_1(x,y) = 2e^t \sinh(x+y) + \sinh x + \sinh y$$

$$g_1(x,y) = 2[e^t \cosh(x+y) + e^{-t} \cosh(x-y)] + \cosh x + \cosh y$$

$$f_2(x) = \frac{2\sinh x}{1 + 2\cosh x}$$

$$g_2(x) = \frac{2\cosh x}{1 + 2\cosh x}.$$
(12)

Using the method, given in Section II one finds explicit form for the equations (9) and (10):

$$1 = \frac{6}{4}p^2 \sum_{\mu = -3}^{3} \sum_{\nu = -3}^{3} c_{\mu}^{c} c_{\nu}^{s} f(\mu t, \nu t) + \frac{3}{2}p(1 - p) \sum_{\nu = -3}^{3} c_{\nu}^{s} f_{2}(\nu t)$$
 (13)

$$q = \frac{1}{4}p^2 \sum_{\mu=-3}^{3} \sum_{\rho=-3}^{3} c_{\mu}^{c} c_{\rho}^{c} g(\mu t, \rho t) + \frac{1}{2}p(1-p) \sum_{\mu=-3}^{3} c_{\mu}^{c} g_2(\mu t), \tag{14}$$

where
$$c_0^c = 2[(1-q)^3 + \frac{3}{2}(1-q)q^2] \quad c_1^c = 3q(1-q)^2 + \frac{3}{4}q^3 \quad c_2^c = \frac{3}{2}(1-q)q^2 \quad c_3^c = \frac{q^3}{4}$$

$$c_0^s = 0 \quad c_1^s = (1-q)^2 + \frac{q^2}{4} \quad c_2^s = q(1-q) \quad c_3^s = \frac{q^3}{4}$$

3.2. Two-Spin Cluster Approximation for z = 6

sions From equations (6) and (7), that are valid in this case, we obtain the following expressions

$$1 = \frac{10}{4} p^2 \sum_{\mu = -5}^{5} \sum_{\nu = -5}^{5} c_{\mu}^{c} c_{\nu}^{s} f(\mu t, \nu t) + \frac{5}{2} p (1 - p) \sum_{\nu = -5}^{5} c_{\nu}^{s} f_{2}(\nu t)$$
 (15)

and

(8)

$$q = \frac{p^2}{4} \sum_{\mu = -5}^{5} \sum_{\rho = -5}^{5} c_{\mu}^{c} c_{\rho}^{c} g(\mu t, \rho t) + \frac{1}{2} p(1 - p) \sum_{\mu = -5}^{5} c_{\mu}^{c} g_{2}(\mu t), \tag{16}$$

Carlo Salar Sa

where
$$c_0^6 = 2[(1-q)^5 + 5(1-q)^3q^2 + \frac{15}{8}(1-q)q^4] \quad c_0^6 = 0$$

$$c_1^6 = 5q(1-q)^4 + \frac{15}{5}(1-q)^2q^3 + \frac{5}{8}q^5 \quad c_1^4 = (1-q)^4 + \frac{3}{2}(1-q)^2q^2 + \frac{q^4}{8}$$

$$c_2^6 = 5q^2(1-q)^3 + \frac{5}{5}(1-q)q^4 \quad c_2^8 = 2q(1-q)^3 + (1-q)q^3$$

$$c_3^6 = \frac{5}{2}(1-q)^2q^3 + \frac{5}{16}q^5 \quad c_3^8 = \frac{3}{2}q^2(1-q)^2 + \frac{3}{16}q^4$$

$$c_4^6 = \frac{5}{8}q^4(1-q) \quad c_3^8 = \frac{1}{2}(1-q)q^3$$

$$c_5^6 = \frac{1}{16} \quad c_5^8 = \frac{1}{16}$$

z=6, respectively. vs. p) can be immediately calculated from (13), (14) for z = 4 and from (15), (16) for In both cases the concentation dependence of the critical temperature (or the curve t^{-1}

for square and 0.2725 for simple cubic lattices. critical concentration p_c . We received t_c^{-1} equal to 2.1491 and 3.4995 at p=1 (pure Ising model) for z = 4 and z = 6, respectively. The critical concentration p_c is 0.4069 The transition temperature decreases with decreasing p and reduces to zero at the

4. Conclusions

of calculations, except the coefficients c^c and c^s . The present method completely avoids erator method to physical problems that are practically untractable by another way operations with many terms in sums and consequently allows to apply differential opcan see that the increasing coordination number z has the minimal effect on the growth work of differential operator method. From the examples presented in Section III we In this work we have shown how to simplify tedious algebraic calculations in the frame-

single-ion and nearest-neighbour pair interactions are derived for all spin values from single-site clusters, our method is much less general than that of Tucker et al a set of coupled equations (23) from [10] for single-site cluster theory. It is applicable S=1/2 to S=5/2. Our method represents an alternative approach to evaluation of to two-site clusters and bond diluted Ising systems [5] as well. On the other hand, for In Tucker et al. [10] the effective field equations for Ising model having all possible

References

- R. Honmura, T. Kaneyoshi: J. Phys. C: 12 (1979) 3979
- 2 H. B. Callen: Phys. Lett 4 (1963) 161.
- A. Bobák, M. Jaščur: phys. stat. sol. (b) 135 (1986) K9
- L'. Tôth, M. Tôthová: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5 (1993) 121
- L'. Tóth, M. Tóthová: Z. Phys. B 93 (1993) 67.
- A. Bobák, M. Jaščur, S. Mockovčiak: phys. stat. sol. (b) 183 (1994) 565
- <u>E420789</u> A. Bobák, S. Mockovčiak, J. Sivulka: phys. stat. sol. (b) 176 (1993) 447
 - Z. Y. Li, C. Z. Yang: Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 5744.
- N. Benayad, A. Benyoussef, N. Boccara: J. Phys. C 21 (1988) 5447
- J. W. Tucker, M. Saber, L. Peliti: Physica A 206 (1994) 497