

## EXPLICIT EXPRESSION FOR THE DEUTERON OVERLAP INTEGRAL IN THE ${}^3D_1$ STATE

Constantin Cioacă

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Chemistry, Bucharest University, Boul. Carol nr.  
13, Bucharest, Romania*

Received 5 June 1995 , accepted 17 August 1995

Explicit expression for the deuteron overlap integral occurring in the reactions with deuterons has been obtained. Harmonic oscillator wave functions have been used for nucleons whereas ( ${}^3S_1 + {}^3D_1$ ) - state wave function obtained as a solution of the Schrödinger equation with tensor forces was employed for the deuteron. A good agreement with experimental data is obtained.

### 1. Introduction

The majority of the reduced widths for various channels of light nuclei has been calculated and compared with experimental data [1-2]. In the paper [2], its theoretical expressions have been obtained using simplifying hypotheses concerning the structure of the emitted particles.

The effect of the structure of the emitted particles on the reduced widths using for the intrinsic wave functions of the emitted particles only  ${}^3S_1$  - state functions has been analysed [1].

In the present paper, we have studied the effect of other states than the  ${}^3S_1$  - state on the reduced widths. We have, for instance, considered configuration  ${}^3S_1 + {}^3D_1$  for the intrinsic deuteron wave functions.

A good agreement with experimental data is obtained.

### Explicit Expression for the Deuteron Overlap Integral

Explicit expression for the amplitude of the reduced widths occurring in the reactions with deuteron has been obtained in Ref. [1].

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} &= \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_c \begin{pmatrix} n \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{L_6 L_4 J_4} [(2J_4 + 1)(2L_6 + 1)/(2S_1 + 1)(2I + 1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\langle n | \{ [L_1 S_1 T_1] \} \gamma^{n-A_3} [f_2]_{L_2 S_2 T_2}, \gamma^{A_3} [f_3]_{L_6 S_3 T_3}, L_1 S_1 T_1 \rangle \\ &\langle T_2 T_3 m_{T_2} m_{T_3} | T_2 T_3 T_1 m_{T_1} \rangle \langle L_2 L_3 (L_4) S_1 J_S | L_2, L_3 S_1 (J_4) J_S \rangle \\ &\langle L_2 S_2 (J_2) L_3 S_3 (J_3) J_S | L_2 L_3 (L_4) S_2 S_3 (S_1) J_S \rangle \\ &\langle L_2 J_4 J_S \rangle L_6 L_3 (J) J_1 | L_2 L_6 (L_1) J_4 L_3 (S_1) J_1 \rangle \\ &\sum_{\nu} R_{\nu \ell} (R_c) [A_1 / (A_1 - A_3)]^{\nu+1/2} Q_{\nu L_6 L_3} \end{aligned}$$

In the relation (A),  $\mu$  is the reduced mass of the neutron-proton system and  $R_c$  the channel radius of the nuclear reaction with deuterons.  $\begin{pmatrix} n \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix}$  is the multiplier resulting from the antisymmetrization of the functions implicated in the reactions with deuterons. The notation  $(j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2 | j_1 j_2 j m)$  represents the coefficient of vector addition, while the quantity

$$\langle j_1 j_2 (j_1 j_2) j_3 j_4 (j_3 j_4) j | j_1 j_3 (j_1 j_3) j_2 j_4 (j_2 j_4) j \rangle = \begin{Bmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_1 j_2 \\ j_3 & j_4 & j_3 j_4 \\ j_1 j_3 & j_2 j_4 & j \end{Bmatrix} \sqrt{(2j_1 j_2 + 1)(2j_3 j_4 + 1)(2j_1 j_3 + 1)(2j_2 j_4 + 1)}$$

is proportional to the 9-j symbol. The Racah coefficients  $W$  are defined by the relation

$$\langle j_1 j_2 (j_1 j_2) j_3 j_4 (j_3 j_4) j \rangle = \sqrt{(2j_1 j_2 + 1)(2j_2 j_3 + 1)W(j_1 j_2 j_3, j_1 j_2 j_3)}.$$

More details relating to the significance of the notations used in this work can be found in Refs. /1-2/.

The deuteron overlap integral intervening in relation (A) has the following form

$$Q_{\nu L_6 L_3} = \sum_{m_{L_3} m} (L_3 m_{L_3} m | L_3 L_6 m_{L_6}) \int \langle n_1 l_1 n_2 l_2 L_6 m_{L_6} | \chi_{L_3 m_{L_3}}(r) | \nu l m(\bar{R}_3) \rangle d\bar{R}_3 d\bar{r}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi_{L_3 m_{L_3}}$  is the spatial part of the deuteron internal wave function,  $|\nu l m(\bar{R}_3)\rangle$  harmonic oscillator wave function taken in the deuteron centre of mass and

$$|n_1 l_1 n_2 l_2 L_6 m_{L_6}\rangle = \sum_{m_1 m_2} (l_1 l_2 m_1 m_2 | l_1 l_2 L_6 m_{L_6}) |n_1 l_1 m_1\rangle |n_2 l_2 m_2\rangle. \quad (2)$$

For  $L_3 = 0$  the expression (1) has been calculated in /2/ and for  $L_3 = 2$  it is to be calculated. Thus, by means of the transformation

$$\bar{R}_3 = (\bar{r}_1 + \bar{r}_2)2^{-1}, \quad \bar{r} = \bar{r}_1 + \bar{r}_2, \quad (3)$$

Explicit Expression for the deuteron...

21

we shall pass from the wave function (2), expressed in coordinates with respect to the centre of the potential well, to a sum of the wave functions expressed in relative and centre of mass coordinates of the nucleons

$$|n_1 l_1 n_2 l_2 L_6 m_{L_6}\rangle = \sum_{n_{R_3} l_{R_3} n_{L_6} l_{L_6}} \langle n_{R_3} l_{R_3} n_{R_3} l_{R_3} l_3 l_3 | 1, |n_1 l_1 n_2 l_2 L_6\rangle |n_{L_6} l_{L_6} n_{L_6} l_{L_6} m_{L_6}\rangle \quad (4)$$

with the selection rule resulting from the energy conservation law

$$2n_1 + l_1 + 2n_2 + l_2 = 2n_{R_3} + l_{R_3} + 2n_{L_6} + l_{L_6} \quad (4')$$

Inserting the expression (4) into the overlap integral (1) and integrating over the angular variables, one obtains

$$Q_{\nu L_6 L_3=2} = \langle N - \nu, L_3 = 2, \nu l, L_6 | 1, |n_1 l_1 n_2 l_2 L_6\rangle \int_0^\infty R_{N-\nu, L_3=2}(\tau) f_D(\tau) r d\tau, \quad (5)$$

where  $R_{n\ell}(\tau)$  is the radial part of the oscillator wave function and  $f_D(\tau)$  can be expressed as /3/

$$f_D(\tau) = N_D \exp(-\delta\tau) [1 + 3(\delta\tau)^{-1} + 3(\delta\tau)^{-2}] \quad \text{for } \tau_c = 0 \quad (6)$$

and

$$f_D(\tau) = N_S [1 + 6(\delta\tau_c)^{-1} + 12(\delta\tau_c)^{-2} + 6(\delta\tau_c)^{-3}]^{-1/2} [1 + 3(\delta\tau)^{-1} + 3(\delta\tau)^{-2}] \exp(-\delta\tau) \quad \text{for } \tau_c \neq 0 \quad (7)$$

The explicit expression for the matrix element (1) can be found in Ref. /2/.

For the zero radius of hard core ( $\tau_c = 0$ ), taking into account the expression of  $R_{n\ell}(\tau)$  and (6), the overlap integral (5) becomes

$$Q_{\nu L_6 L_3=2} = \langle N - \nu, 2, \nu l, L_6 | 1, |n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, L_6\rangle \alpha_r^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{2(N-\nu)!}{(N-\nu+5/2)!}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-\nu} \binom{N-\nu+5/2}{N-\nu-k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} F_k \quad (8)$$

where  $F_k$  is given by the integral

$$F_k = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_r^2 r^2}{2}\right) (\alpha_r r)^{2k+2} f_D(\tau) r d\tau. \quad (9)$$

Taking into account the connection between the parabolic cylinder functions and the confluent hypergeometric function, (9) becomes

$$F_k = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{N_D (2k+3)!}{\alpha_r^{2k+2}}$$

$$\left\{ g_{k+1}(z/\sqrt{2}) + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{z(2k+3)} g_{k+1/2}(z/\sqrt{2}) + \frac{6}{z^2(2k+3)(2k+2)} g_k(z/\sqrt{2}) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

in which the significance of the function  $g_k(x)$  is

$$g_k(x) = \frac{1}{(k+1/2)!} {}_1F_1(k+1, 1/2, x^2) - \frac{2x}{k!} {}_1F_1(k+3/2, 3/2, x^2). \quad (11)$$

The notation  ${}_1F_1(\alpha, \beta, y)$  means the confluent hypergeometric function. Substituting (10) in (8), the overlap integral becomes

$$Q_{\nu L_n L_3=2} = \langle N-\nu, 2, \nu l, L_b | 1, n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, L_b \frac{4\sqrt{2} N_D}{\sqrt{\alpha r}} \sqrt{\frac{(N-\nu+5/2)!}{(N-\nu)!}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-\nu} \frac{(N-\nu)! (-1)^k 2^k}{(N-\nu-k)!} \frac{k+1}{2k+5} R_k(z/\sqrt{2}) \rangle \quad (12)$$

where

$$R_k(x) = g_{k+1}(x) + \frac{3}{x(2k+3)} g_{k+1/2}(x) + \frac{3}{x^2(2k+3)(2k+2)} g_k(x). \quad (13)$$

For  $\tau_0 \neq 0$  the function (7) should be inserted into (9) and in this case the overlap integral gets the form

$$Q_{\nu L_n L_3=2} = \langle N-\nu, L_3=2, \nu l, L_b | 1, n_1 l_1, n_2 l_2, L_b \rangle \sum_{n=0}^{N-\nu} \frac{N_D}{\sqrt{\alpha r}} [(N-\nu+5/2)!/(N-\nu)!]^{1/2} \frac{(N-\nu)! (-1)^k}{(N-\nu-k)!} \left[ \frac{2^{k+5/2}(k+1)}{2k+5} R_k(z/\sqrt{2}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}k!} \exp(-\alpha r^2 \tau_0^2 2^{-1}) - \delta r_0 (1+3\delta^{-1} \tau_0^{-1} + 3\delta^{-2} \tau_0^{-2}) (\alpha r)^{2k+4} \right] \quad (14)$$

### 3. The Deuteron Reduced Width

The explicit expression of the amplitude of the reduced width is given by the relation (A) with (12) and (14).

The expression of the reduced width  $\gamma_{cl}^2$  is obtained by taking into account the internal wave function (6) /3/. Thus,

$$\gamma_{cl}^2 = \left( \sum_{j_s} \gamma_{clj_s} \right)^2, \quad (15)$$

Nucl.	E (MeV)	J <sup>π</sup>	T	Decay mode	${}^3S_1$ / 2/		${}^3S_1 + {}^3D_1$		Exp. [2]	R <sub>c</sub> (fm)
					$\tau_c = 0$	$\tau_c = 0.43$ (fm)	$\tau_c = 0$	$\tau_c = 0.43$ (fm)		
He <sup>5</sup>	16.69	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}$	H <sup>3</sup> +H <sup>2</sup>	0	0.63	0.76	0.24	0.7	7
Li <sup>5</sup>	18.81	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}$	He <sup>3</sup> +H <sup>2</sup>	0				0.7	

Table 1. The calculated deuteron reduced widths for the case of several simple nuclei.

in which the amplitude of the reduced widths  $\gamma_{clj_s}$  can be written in the form

$$\gamma_{clj_s} = \cos \omega \gamma_{clj_s, L_3=0} + \sin \omega \gamma_{clj_s, L_3=2}. \quad (16)$$

In its expression, the parameter

$$\alpha r = \left( \frac{m_n m_p}{m_n + m_p} \frac{\omega}{\hbar} \right)^{1/2} \quad (17)$$

appears, where  $\omega$  is the frequency of the oscillator. In the following calculation, we supposed that the neutron and the proton masses are equal. Thus  $\alpha r = \sqrt{\frac{m_n \omega}{2\hbar}}$ , in which  $m$  is the nucleon mass. On the basis of expression (12), (14) and (15), we have calculated the deuteron reduced widths for case of several simple nuclei (Table 1).

### 4. Discussion

The explicit expression of the deuteron internal wave function depends on the chosen potential. Because it is not yet established which of the potential is the most adequate one, for solving the deuteron problem there are several hypotheses. The functions (14)-(15) have not a good behaviour at the origin [4] and at the same time the function (15) cannot be normalized to unity [5]. If one uses the method (16)-(19) [3] for the determination of the normalization coefficients, it follows that the reduced width is approximately 2.5 times smaller than obtained for the S-state. However, using a hard core potential with functions (20)-(21) [3], the result for the S + D mixture is quite the same as that obtained experimentally. Hence, we can conclude that the effect of the deuteron D-state on the reduced widths depends strongly on the behaviour of the S and D states in the neighbourhood of the origin. For functions (14)-(15) [3] the results with S + D mixture functions are differing very much from the pure S-state wave functions. On the contrary, by introducing a hard core potential we have eliminated the part appearing in the overlap integral which seems to bring for the S + D mixture the largest contribution. These results show that it is possible for the considered effect to play a more important part than it seems at the first sight.

### Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his thanks to Dr. O. Dumitrescu for helpful and fruitful discussions about the subject treated in this work.

## References

- [1] A. Skandulescu, O. Dumitrescu: *Rev. Roum. Phys.* **9** (1964) 531
- [2] Y.F. Smirnov: *Nucl. Phys.* **27** (1961) 177
- [3] C. Cioacă: *Ann. of Bucharest Univ.* **3** (1994) 97
- [4] K.H. Mahlin: *Physics of Elementary Particles*, Tome 2, in Russian, Moscow, Atomizdat, (1974), 16;
- [5] Y. Ayan, E. Belorizky: *Mécanique Quantique*, Paris, Dunod, (1990), 102