IN A CORRELATED EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY') CURIE TEMPERATURE OF AN AMORPHOUS FERROMAGNET

A. BOBÁK²), Košice

comparison with the corresponding crystalline case. The result is compared with other fluctuations of the exchange integrals a decrease of the Curie temperature is found in temperature of an amorphous ferromagnet for the Ising model. Due to the structure A new-type effective field theory with correlations is used to determine the Curie

ТЕМПЕРАТУРА КЮРИ АМОРФНОГО ФЕРРОМАГНЕТИКА В ТЕОРИИ ЭФФЕКТИВНОГО ПОЛЯ С КОРРЕЛЯЦИЯМИ

пературы Кюри по сравнению с соответстующим случаем кристаллического сосствие структурных флуктуаций обменных интегралов обнаружено падение темтояния. Проведено сравнение полученных результатов с другими исследованиями. Изинга использован новый тип теории эффективного поля с корреляциями. Вслед-Для определения температуры Кюри аморфного ферромагнетика в модели

I. INTRODUCTION

of the Curie temperature, exchange integrals around its crystalline mean value. Contrary to the standard MFT we have found that the fluctuations of the exchange integral causes a decrease in which the structural disorder is replaced by the random fluctuations of the extended to the case of an amorphous ferromagnet. We use the "lattice model" [3] on the standard molecular field theory (MFT). In this paper, the method is correlation function and yields results which represent a remarkable improvement method is realized by introducing a differential operator into an exact spin method of the Ising model with correlations in a crystalline ferromagnet. The Recently Honmura and Kaneyoshi [1, 2] discussed a new-type effective field

lovakia.

²) Katedra teoretickej fyziky a geofyziky PF UPJŠ, Komenského 14, 041 54 KOŠICE, Czechos-') Contribution presented at the 7th Conference on Magnetism, Košice, June 5-8, 1984.

The Hamiltonian for an amorphous Ising ferromagnet is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} s_i s_j; \quad s_i = \pm 1,$$

where J_{ij} is the random exchange integral between spins at the places i and j with

follows According to Callen [4], we can obtain an exact spin correlation function as

$$\langle s_i \rangle = \left\langle \tanh \left(\beta \sum_i J_{ij} S_i \right) \right\rangle,$$
 (2)

with $\beta = 1/k_BT$ and $\langle ... \rangle$ indicates an ensemble average. Using the differential operator $D = \partial/\partial x$ in relations (2), and neglecting site correlations we obtain

$$\langle s_i \rangle = \prod_j \left[\cosh \left(D K_{ij} \right) + \langle s_j \rangle \sinh \left(D K_{ij} \right) \right] \tanh x \big|_{x=0} \,.$$
 (3)

perform the configurational average on equation (3). If we restrict ourselves to the with $K_{ij} = \beta J_{ij}$. For an amorphous system with random exchange integrals, we must z, the nearest neighbours relation (3) reduces to

$$\langle s_i \rangle_c = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle [\cosh(DK_{ij}) + \langle s_j \rangle \sinh(DK_{ij})] \rangle_c \tanh x|_{x=0}$$
 (4)

collectively. In this approximation, equation (4) becomes, for small exchange might be a better approach, when the spins are strongly correlated and fluctuated the critical region the homogeneous approximation [3, 5], or $\langle s_i \rangle = \langle s_i \rangle_c \equiv m$, temperature, long-range critical fluctuations become dominant. Therefore, near random configuration of the nearest neighbours. However, near the transition ensemble-averaged value $\langle s_j \rangle$ should be different at each site, because of the where $\langle \ldots \rangle_c$ denotes the configurational average. In amorphous ferromagnets, the

$$m = \left\{ \left[\cosh(DK) + m \sinh(DK) \right] \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} (DK)^2 \Delta^2 \right] \right\}^z \tanh x \Big|_{x=0}.$$
 (5)

distribution of the random exchange integrals $J_{ij} = J_0 + \Delta J_{ij}$, with $J_0 \equiv \langle J \rangle_c$. Here $K = \beta J_0$ and $\Delta^2 = \langle (\Delta J_{ij})^2 \rangle_c / J_0^2$ represents the mean square deviation in the

obtained from to zero, we can linearize equation (5), and then the Curie temperature T_c can be Near the transition temperature, as the averaged magnitization m is nearly equal

$$1 = z[\cosh(DK_c)]^{z-1} \sinh(DK_c) \left[1 + \frac{z}{2} (DK_c)^2 \Delta^2 \right] \tanh x|_{x=0}$$
 (6)

where $K_c = J_0/k_B T_c$. For example, in the case of z = 6 and $\overline{Z} = 8$, equation (6)

$$\frac{T_c - T_c^{(0)}}{T_c^{(0)}} = -A\Delta^2,\tag{7}$$

where A = 0.203 and 0.144 for z = 6 and 8, respectively, and $T_c^{(0)}$ is the critical temperature for the corresponding crystal ($\Delta = 0$).

MFT neglects all correlations. correlations between different spins (see equation (3)). On the other hand, the to the fact that it takes exactly into account relations like $s_i^2 = 1$ and neglects only The reason why this method gives a different result from the traditional MFT is due a decrease according to the correlated effective field theory, in contrast to the homogeneous MFT which yields no change of T_c (see [6], and references therein). Thus the Curie temperature T_c of the amorphous ferromagnet shows indeed

been found in [7] by using a δ -function distribution of the exchange integrals. Finally, we note that quantitatively similar results for the Curie temperature have

REFERENCES

- [1] Honmura, R., Kaneyoshi, T.: Prog. Theor. Phys. 60 (1978), 635.
 [2] Honmura, R., Kaneyoshi, T. J. Phys. C 12 (1979), 3979.
 [3] Handrich, K.: Phys. Stat. Sol. 32 (1969), K55.
- Handrich, K., Kobe, S.: Acta Phys. Polon. A 38 (1970), 819.
- [4] Callen, H. B.: Phys. Lett. 4 (1963), 161.
 [5] Handrich, K., Kobe, S.: Acta Phys. Polon. A
 [6] Fähnle, M.: Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 99 (1980), 547.
 [7] Tamura, L. Kaneyoshi, T.: J. Phys. Soc. Lett.
- Tamura, I., Kaneyoshi, T.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 52 (1983), 3208.

Received November 6th, 1984

Revised version received January 24th, 1985